700 Lavaca, Suite 800 Austin, Texas 78701

Phone: (512) 476-1400 Fax: (512) 703-1250

CONLEY, ROSE & TAYON, P.C.

FAX RECEIVED

SEP - 9 2002

Intellectual Property Law Including Patents, Trademarks, Copyrights and Unfair Competition

Technology Center 2100

FAX

To: Mr. Laufer	From: Robert Kowert	——⋛
Fax: 703-305-8266	Phone: 512-703-1271	
Phone: 703-306-4160	Pages: \ , including cover page	<u>A</u>
Re: Rulc 47A Petition (09/159,509)	Date: September 9, 2002	m
Mr. Laufer,		ÖP)

Attached please find the documents submitted in March 2000, in response to the Decision Refusing Status under 37 C.F.R. 1,47(a).

THIS FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL AND THE DOCUMENTS ACCOMPANYING THIS FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL CONTAIN CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL NAMED ABOVE. IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT YOU ARE NOTIFIED THAT THIS COMMUNICATION MAY BE SUBJECT TO THE ATTORNEY-CLIENT OR WORK-PRODUCT PRIVILEGE AND THAT THE DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY, PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY US BY TELEPHONE (COLLECT) TO ARRANGE FOR RETURN OF THE DOCUMENTS. RECEIPT BY ANYONE OTHER THAN THE INTENDED RECIPIENT IS NOT A WAIVER OF ANY ATTORNEY-CLIENT OR WORK-PRODUCT PRIVILEGE.

p.2

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Group Art Unit: 2784 § In re Reissue Application of: Examiner: Unknown Browning, et al. Attorney Docket: 5181-11402 Serial No.: 09/159,509 Client Docket: P3051C Filed: September 23, 1998 I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited METHOD AND APPARATUS For: with the U.S. Postal Service as First Class Mail in an envelope FOR CREATING A WIREFRAME § addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Passes, Washington, D.C. 20231, on the date indicated below: AND POLYGON VIRTUAL ş Dan R. Christen WORLD Name of Registered Representative ş Ş ş § ş

REISSUE APPLICATION DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY BY INVENTOR(S)

As a below named Inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name, I believe I am an original, first, joint inventor of the subject matter that is described and claimed in letters patent number 5,559,995, granted on September 24, 1996, and for which invention I solicit a reissue patent on the invention entitled "METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR CREATING A WIREFRAME AND POLYGON VIRTUAL WORLD," the specification of which

	is attached hereto.
×	was filed on September 23, 1998, as reissue application number 09/159,509 and is amended in the Preliminary Amendment submitted herewith.
	I hereby declare that there is no assignee for this application.

0

`Feb 24 00 01:33p

р. Э

STATEMENT OF INOPERATIVENESS OR INVALIDITY OF ORIGINAL PATENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.175

I believe the original patent to be
⊠ partly
wholly
inoperative or invalid by reason of (37 C.F.R. S 1.175(a)(1)):
(check all items that may apply)
a defective specification
a defective drawing
the patentee claiming more or less than the patentee had a right to claim in the patent.
NOTE: At least one error must be relied upon as the basis for the reissue. 37 C.F.R. § 1.175(a)(1).

Applicants note that a change or departure from the original specification or claims represents an "error" in the original patent under 35 U.S.C. 251. One such "error" in the original patent relates to the specification. Filed with this declaration is a Preliminary Amendment which corrects a number of "errors" in the specification. In particular, in column 2, line 31, the phrase [the SGI] should be changed to the SGI workstation—. In column 3, line 5, the phrase [fiat tree] should be changed to flat tree—.

Another "error" relates to the patentees claiming more or less than they had a right to claim in the patent. Filed with the reissue application were new claims 10-108, at least some of which have a different scope than the originally issued claims, and that cover inventions that were not completely covered by the original claims. The differences in scope between the new claims and the old claims represent "errors" in the original patent.

For example, old independent claims 1 and 8 represents "errors" because the patentees claimed less than they had a right to claim in the original patent. Old claims 1 and 8 include the following language: "for selecting a first edge of [the] first virtual object and for selecting a second edge of [the] second virtual object." New independent claims 10 and 46 do not contain this language.

Another error in old independent claims 1 and 8 is the language "joined at an intersection of the first and second edges." New independent claims 10 and 46 do not contain this language.

Yet another "error" is corrected by new claims 46-57, which are directed toward a memory medium. There are no memory medium claims recited in the original patent due to the insufficiency of the original claims.

P. 04

P - 4

Feb 24 00 01:33p

Applicant(s) believe that the errors listed above, and all other errors which are being corrected, up to the time of the filing of this reissue declaration, arose without any deceptive intention on the part of the applicant(s). 37 C.F.R. § 1.175(a)(2).

NOTE: For any error corrected not covered by this declaration applicant must submit, before allowance, a supplemental declaration stating that every such error arose without any deceptive intention on the part of the applicant. 37 C.F.R. § 1.175(b)(1).

ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF REVIEW OF PAPERS AND DUTY OF CANDOR

(37 C.F.R. 6 1.175)

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information that is material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56.

In compliance with this duty, there is attached an information disclosure statement in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.98.

ADDITIONAL PARTS OF DECLARATION

Check proper box(es) for any added pages forming part of this declaration:

Petition by person authorized under 37 C.F.R. §1.47 for inventor who refuses to sign or cannot be reached.

Corroborating affidavits or declarations of others.

POWER OF ATTORNEY

I hereby revoke any previous Powers of Attorney and appoint the following practitioner(s):

Kenneth Olsen	Reg. No. 26,493
Matthew C. Rainey	Reg. No. 32,291
Timothy J. Crean	Reg. No. 37,116
Philip J. McKay	Reg. No. 38,966
Robert S. Hauser	Reg. No. 37,847
Joseph T. FitzGerald	Reg. No. 33,881
Alexander E. Silverman	Reg. No. 37,940

řeb 24 00 01:34p

each of said attorneys being employed by Sun Microsystems, and

Mark L. Bernier	Reg. No. 35,066
Cyrus F. Bharucha	Reg. No. 42,324
Dan R. Christen	Reg. No. 39,943
Timothy S. Corder	Reg. No. 38,414
Kevin L. Daffer	Reg. No. 34,146
Jeffrey C. Hood	Reg. No. 35,198
Louis H. Iselin	Rcg. No. 42,684
B. Noël Kivlin	Reg. No. 33,929
Robert C. Kowert	Reg. No. 39,255
Lawrence J. Merkel	Reg. No. 41,191
Eric B. Meyertons	Reg. No. 34,876
Eric A. Stephenson	Reg. No. 38,321
David A. Rose	Reg. No. 26,223

each of said attorneys or agents being members or associates of the firm of Conley, Rose & Tayon, P.C., as attorney or agent for so long as they remain with such company or firm, with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute the application, to make alterations and amendments therein, to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office in connection therewith, and to receive the Letters Patent.

Please direct all communications as follows:

Dan R. Christen Conley, Rose & Tayon, P.C. P.O. Box 398 Austin, Texas 78767-0398 Ph: (512) 703-1429

STATEMENT OF POSSIBLE OWNERSHIP INTEREST AND CONSENT

I believe that I may have an ownership interest in this reissue application and the underlying patent. Sun Microsystems has notified me that it believes it owns the entire right and interest in this reissue application and the underlying patent by way of assignment from VPL Newco, Inc. Regardless of how this issue is eventually resolved, I hereby give my consent for the filing of this reissue application.

P.6

Feb 24 00 01:34p

O ______

SIGNATURE(S)

I hereby declare that all statements made of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Inventor's Full Name:	Dan	D.	Browning
	(First)	(Initial)	(Last)
Inventor's Signature:			Date:
City and State (or For	eign Country)	of Residence: San Franci	sco, CA Citizenship: U.S.A.
Post Office and Resid	ence Address:		et 204, San Francisco, CA 94123 ame, city, state and zip code)
Inventor's Full Name:	Ethan (First)	D. (Initial)	Joffe (Last)
Inventor's Signature:	Ette	M	Date: 2/34/3000
City and State (or For	eign Country)	of Residence: Venice, C	A Citizenship: <u>U.S.A.</u>
Post Office and Resid	ence Address:		Venice, CA 90291 ame, city, state and zip code)
Inventor's Full Name:	Jaron (First)	Z. (Initial)	Lanier (Last)
Inventor's Signature:			Date:
City and State (or For	eign Country)	of Residence: Sausalito,	CA Citizenship: U.S.A.
Post Office and Resid	lence Address:		
		(include number, sweet r	name, city, state and zip code)

PATENT IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re R	cissue Application of:	§ S	Group Art Unit: 2784
	Browning, et al.	8	Examiner: Unknown
Serial :	No.: 09/159,509	9	Attorney Docket: 5181-11402
		§ §	Client Docket: P3051C
Filed:	September 23, 1998	8	I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited
For:	METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR CREATING A WIREFRAME AND POLYGON VIRTUAL	8	with the U.S. Postal Service as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231, on the date indicated below:
	WORLD	8	Name of Registered Representative
	∠	8	Feb 28, 2000 Jan Christer
		8	

REISSUE APPLICATION DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY BY INVENTOR(S)

As a below named Inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name, I believe I am an original, first, joint inventor of the subject matter that is described and claimed in letters patent number 5,559,995, granted on September 24, 1996, and for which invention I solicit a reissue patent on the invention entitled "METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR CREATING A WIREFRAME AND POLYGON VIRTUAL WORLD," the specification of which

	is attached hereto.
\boxtimes	was filed on September 23, 1998, as reissue application number 09/159,509 and is amended in the Preliminary Amendment submitted herewith.
	I hereby declare that there is no assignee for this application.

STATEMENT OF INOPERATIVENESS OR INVALIDITY OF ORIGINAL PATENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.175

I believe the original patent to be
partly
wholly
inoperative or invalid by reason of (37 C.F.R. S 1.175(a)(1)):
(check all items that may apply)
a defective specification
a defective drawing
the patentee claiming more or less than the patentee had a right to claim in the patent
NOTE: At least one error must be relied upon as the basis for the reissue. 37 C.F.R. § 1.175(a)(1).

Applicants note that a change or departure from the original specification or claims represents an "error" in the original patent under 35 U.S.C. 251. One such "error" in the original patent relates to the specification. Filed with this declaration is a Preliminary Amendment which corrects a number of "errors" in the specification. In particular, in column 2, line 31, the phrase [the SGI] should be changed to <u>the SGI workstation</u>. In column 3, line 5, the phrase [fiat tree] should be changed to <u>flat tree</u>.

Another "error" relates to the patentees claiming more or less than they had a right to claim in the patent. Filed with the reissue application were new claims 10-108, at least some of which have a different scope than the originally issued claims, and that cover inventions that were not completely covered by the original claims. The differences in scope between the new claims and the old claims represent "errors" in the original patent.

For example, old independent claims 1 and 8 represents "errors" because the patentees claimed less than they had a right to claim in the original patent. Old claims 1 and 8 include the following language: "for selecting a first edge of [the] first virtual object and for selecting a

second edge of [the] second virtual object." New independent claims 10 and 46 do not contain this language.

Another error in old independent claims 1 and 8 is the language "joined at an intersection of the first and second edges." New independent claims 10 and 46 do not contain this language.

Yet another "error" is corrected by new claims 46-57, which are directed toward a memory medium. There are no memory medium claims recited in the original patent due to the insufficiency of the original claims.

Applicant(s) believe that the errors listed above, and all other errors which are being corrected, up to the time of the filing of this reissue declaration, arose without any deceptive intention on the part of the applicant(s). 37 C.F.R. § 1.175(a)(2).

NOTE: For any error corrected not covered by this declaration applicant must submit, before allowance, a supplemental declaration stating that every such error arose without any deceptive intention on the part of the applicant. 37 C.F.R. § 1.175(b)(1).

ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF REVIEW OF PAPERS AND DUTY OF CANDOR

(37 C.F.R. 6 1.175)

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information that is material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56.

In compliance with this duty, there is attached an information disclosure	statement in
accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.98.	

ADDITIONAL PARTS OF DECLARATION

Page 3

Check proper box(es) for any added pages forming part of this declaration:
Petition by person authorized under 37 C.F.R. §1.47 for inventor who refuses to sign or cannot be reached.

Coπoborating a	affidavits or	declarations	of others.
----------------	---------------	--------------	------------

POWER OF ATTORNEY

I hereby revoke any previous Powers of Attorney and appoint the following practitioner(s):

Kenneth Olsen	Rcg. No. 26,493
Matthew C. Rainey	Reg. No. 32,291
Timothy J. Crean	Reg. No. 37,116
Philip J. McKay	Reg. No. 38,966
Robert S. Hauser	Reg. No. 37,847
Joseph T. FitzGerald	Reg. No. 33,881
Alexander E. Silverman	Reg. No. 37,940

each of said attorneys being employed by Sun Microsystems, and I hereby revoke any previous Powers of Attorney and appoint the following practitioner(s):

Reg. No. 35,066
Rcg. No. 42,324
Reg. No. 39,943
Rcg. No. 38,414
Reg. No. 34,146
Reg. No. 35,198
Reg. No. 42,684
Reg. No. 33,929
Reg. No. 39,255
Reg. No. 41,191
Reg. No. 34,876
Reg. No. 38,321
Reg. No. 26,223

each of said attorneys or agents being members or associates of the firm of Conley, Rose & Tayon, P.C., as attorney or agent for so long as they remain with such company or firm, with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute the application, to make alterations and amendments therein, to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office in connection therewith, and to receive the Letters Patent.

Please direct all communications as follows:

Dan R. Christen
Conley, Rose & Tayon, P.C.
P.O. Box 398
Austin, Texas 78767-0398
Ph: (512) 703-1429

SIGNATURE(S)

I hereby declare that all statements made of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Inventor's Full Name:	Dan	D.	Browning
	(First)	(Initial)	(Last)
. Inventor's Signature:			Date:
City and State (or For	reign Country)	of Residence: San Francis	co, CA Citizenship: U.S.A.
Post Office and Resid	dence Address:	2823 Baker Street nar	t 204, San Francisco, CA 94123 ne, city, state and zip code)
Inventor's Full Name	: Ethan (First)	D. (Initial)	Joffe (Last)
Inventor's Signature:			Date:
		of Residence: Venice, CA	Citizenship: U.S.A.
Post Office and Residence Address: 39 Paloma Ave. #5, Venice CA 90291 (Include number, street name, city, state and zip code)			
Inventor's Full Name		Z. (Initial)	Lanier(Last)
Inventor's Signature	(First)	(Initial)	Date: Dec 2 99
City and State (or Fo	oreign Country) of Residence: Sausalito,	CA Citizenship: U.S.A.
Post Office and Resi	idence Address	: P.O. Box 2842, Saus (Include number, street na	ralito, CA rme, city, state and zip code)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Sun Microsystems, Inc. 09/159,509 ASSIGNEE:

Dan D. Browning, Ellian D. Joffe and Jaron Z. Lanier

SERIAL NO.:

INVENTOR(S):

Method and Apparatus for Creating a Wireframe and Polygon Virtual World September 23, 1998 FILING DATE: TITLE:

ATTORNEY DOCKET: 5181-11402 / P3051C

and 1.175; 3) Petition for Extension of Times (4) Check in the amount of \$380.00 to cover fees; and 5) A Return Postcard.

DRC:dmp

Date: February 28, 2000 CFR 1.47(a); 2) Two executed Declarations in compliance with 37 CFR 1.63, 1.64, The date stamp of the mail room of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office hereon will acknowledge receipt of the attached 1) Copy of the Decision Refusing Status under 37

MAR O 6 ZODO

PATENT (5181-11402/P3051C)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

§

09/159,509 Application No.: September 23, 1998 Filed:

Inventor(s):

Browning, et al.

Method and Apparatus for Title: Creating a Wireframe and

Polygon Virtual World

_:

Examiner:

Unknown

Group/Art Unit: Atty, Dkt. No:

5181-11402

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20211, on the date indicated below.

2784

Dan R. Christen ne of Registered Representative

February 28, 2000

PETITION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.136 FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Applicant respectfully petitions the Commissioner for a two month extension of time under 37 C.F.R. §1.136 within which to respond to the Decision Refusing Status Under 37 CFR 1.47(a) mailed November 29, 1999, such extension allowing the undersigned until February 29, 2000, to respond.

A check is enclosed to cover this extension fce. However, if the check is missing or insufficient, the Commissioner is authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment, to Conley, Rose & Tayon, P.C. Deposit Account No. 03-2769\5181-11402\DRC. TWO DUPLICATE COPIES OF THIS FORM ARE ENCLOSED.

> Respectfully submitted, lan Maites

Dan R. Christen Reg. No. 39,943

ATTORNEY FOR APPLICANTS

Conley, Rosc & Tayon, P.C. P.O. Box 398 Austin, TX 78767-0398 (512) 476-1400

Date: February 28, 2000

SEP-09-2002 MON 11:17 AM DEC 1999



DAN R CHRISTEN CONLEY ROSE & TAYON PC PO BOX 398 AUSTIN, TX 78767-0398

In re Reissue Application of Browning, Joffe, and Lanier Application No. 09/159,509 Filed: September 23, 1998 For: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR CREATING A WIREFRAME AND POLYGON VIRTUAL WORLD

FAX NO. 5181-11402

UNITED ST. CS DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office ASSISTANT SECRETARY AND COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

COPY MAILED

NOV 2 9 1999

SPECIAL PROGRAMS OFFICE DAC FOR PATENTS

: DECISION REFUSING STATUS : UNDER 37 CFR 1.47(a)

DOCKETED

This is in response to the "Petition Under 37 CFR 1047 (a)." filed April 26, 1999.

The petition is <u>dismissed</u>.

Rule 47 applicant is given TWO MONTHS from the mailing date of this decision to reply, correcting the below-noted deficiencies. Any reply should be entitled "Request for Reconsideration of Petition Under 37 CFR 1.47(a)," and should only address the deficiencies noted below. Failure to respond will result in abandonment of the application. Any extensions of time will be governed by 37 CFR 1.136(a).

The reply to this decision may include an oath or declaration executed by the non-signing inventor in compliance with 37 CFR 1.63. (If a declaration is filed by the currently nonsigning inventor, please make sure that the declaration lists all inventors and does not suggest that the inventor is a sole inventor, such a declaration will neither comply with 37 CFR 1.63 nor evidence that the inventor is willing to join in the filing of this application.)

The above-identified application was filed on September 23, 1998 without an executed oath or declaration.

Accordingly, on November 25, 1998, a "Notice to File Missing Parts of Application" was mailed, requiring an executed oath or declaration, and a surcharge for its late filing.

In response, on April 26, 1999, the instant petition was filed, together with a declaration of facts stating the last known address of Mr. Browning, declarations signed by joint inventors Lanier and Joffe, and a petition for an extension of time, as well as other papers. The petition provides proof that joint inventor Browning cannot be reached after a Application No. 09/159,509

Page 2

diligent effort.

A grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a) requires: (1) proof that the non-signing inventor cannot be reached or refuses to sign the oath or declaration after having been presented with the application papers (specification, claims and drawings); (2) an acceptable oath or declaration in compliance with 35 U.S.C. §§ 115 and 116; (3) the petition fee; and (4) a statement of the last known address of the non-signing inventor. Applicant lacks item (2) set forth above.

As to item (2), an application filed pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 1.47(a) is required to be made by the available inventors on behalf of themselves and the non-signing inventor. Accordingly, an oath or declaration for the patent application in compliance with 37 CFR 1.63, 1.64 and 1.175 has not been presented because the residence and citizenship of Mr. Browning have not been stated. An oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.63, 1.64 and 1.175 signed by Mr. Joffe and Mr. Lanier on behalf of themselves and Mr. Browning is REQUIRED. See MPEP 409.03(a).

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail:

Assistant Commissioner for Patents

Box DAC

Washington, D.C. 20231

By FAX:

(703) 308-6916

Attn: Special Program Law Office

By hand:

Crystal Plaza Four, Suite 3C23

2201 South Clark Place Arlington, VA 22202

Telephone inquiries related to this decision should be directed to the undersigned at [(703) 306-3159].

Karin Tyson

Senior Legal Advisor Special Program Law Office Office of the Deputy Assistant Commissioner for Patent Policy and Projects RECEPTION OK

TX/RX NO

5135

CONNECTION TEL SUBADDRESS CONNECTION ID

ST. TIME

09/09 12:10

USAGE T 07'36
PGS. 15
RESULT 0K

This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

□ BLACK BORDERS
□ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
□ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
□ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
□ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
□ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
□ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
□ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
□ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

OTHER:

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.